UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,735	09/19/2003	Gregory Scott Clark	215.1018.02	6065
22883 SWEDNOESK	7590 06/22/2007 Y LAW GROUP PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 390013 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013			RUDY, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,735	CLARK, GREGORY SCOTT			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Rep						
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILING DAILING THE MAILING DAILING THE MAILING DAILING THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Dly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	arch 2007.	•			
2a)∏ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
*	this application is in condition for allowar		•			
closed	d in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims					
4)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1-31 and 33</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-21 and 33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)∭ Claim	(s) is/are allowed.		•			
	(s) <u>1-11 and 22-31</u> is/are rejected.					
	(s) is/are objected to.	•	•			
8)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>33</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.				
Application Pa	pers					
9) The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examine	r. '				
	awing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		y the Examiner.			
Applica	ant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replac	cement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknow	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	Certified copies of the priority documents					
	Certified copies of the priority documents	, ,	·			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	scerved in this National Stage			
	attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	eceived.			
3333		,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Attachment(s)	0% 4 (DTO 200)	□	(070 440)			
	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Information D	visclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date 11/22/05,10/31/03.	_	ormal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's March 9, 2007 Amendment has been reviewed. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant thereto. Claim 32 has been cancelled by Applicant.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claim 33 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The computer readable media includes querying an event for information and matching a unique identifier included in the event with the identifier stored in a database. These features are not required for the other elected claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 33 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Thus, claims 11-21 and 33 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,735

Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

4. Claims 1-10 and 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart et al., US 7,051,072.

Stewart discloses a supply chain management system comprising trading partners, a central hub location, e.g. 132, 154, and a unique identifier, e.g. claims 3, 11, encrypted messages, and modifying the message content that is processed at the central hub and delivering the content to the trading recipients, e.g. Fig. 6. Stewart does not explicitly indicate querying the central hub. However, the commerce system of Stewart allows for participation of the trading partners in the trading process.

Nonetheless, querying a central hub responsive to an event has been common knowledge in the data processing art. To have provided such querying for Stewart would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as such data transfer has been common knowledge in the art.

- 5. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 6. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted November 22, 2005 has been reviewed. Applicant's IDS submitted October 31, 2003 has not been reviewed as the reference is not within the present file wrapper. Note the attached PTO-1449's.

Art Unit: 3627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627